

AGENDA

REGULAR MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE VILLAGE OF LONGVIEW

In the Province of Alberta, held on Tuesday, October 16, 2018 at
Village of Longview Community Hall Commencing at 7:00 p.m.

1.0 CALL TO ORDER

2.0 AGENDA

3.0 DELEGATIONS

A request to attend a Council meeting or have a topic discussed at a Council meeting must be received by the Village of Longview administration no later than 3:00 p.m. on a business day at least five (5) days immediately preceding the meeting at which it is to be presented.

4.0 CORRESPONDENCE FROM RESIDENTS

4.1 Chey Nickerson – Village Logo

5.0 MINUTES

5.1 Minutes COW – Oct 3, 2018

5.2 Minutes Regular Council Meeting – Sept 18, 2018

6.0 REPORTS

6.1 CAO Report –

6.2 Peace Officer Report – Sept & YTD

6.3 Public Works Report – Sept

6.4 Council Reports

7.0 FINANCIAL REPORTING

7.1 Bank Reconciliation Sept, 2018

7.2 Accounts Payable Cheque Register – Sept, 2018

7.3 Statement of Revenue & Expenses

8.0 PUBLIC DISCUSSION

9.0 BYLAWS

9.1 405-17 Community Standards

9.2 413-18 Waste Management

9.3 414-18 Smoking and Vaping Bylaw

9.4 415-18 Cannabis Consumption Bylaw

10.0 BUSINESS

10.1 RFD Tent Rental Policy

10.2 Discussion Social Media Response

10.3 RFD Senior's Hall Use

11.0 CORRESPONDENCE

11.1 AUMA Cannabis legalization

12.0 IN-CAMERA

Section 197(2) of the Municipal Government Act specifies that a council may close all or part of a meeting to the public if a matter to be discussed is within one of the exceptions to disclosure in Division 2 of Part 1 of FOIPP. The exceptions include matters where disclosures could be harmful to personal privacy, individual or public safety, law enforcement, intergovernmental relations, or economic or other interests. No bylaw or resolution can be passed at an in-camera meeting except a resolution to revert to the council meeting in public or to recess.

13.0 ADJOURNMENT

**VILLAGE OF LONGVIEW
BANK RECONCILIATION
August 31, 2018**

General Ledger RBC

Balance at	July 31, 2018	<u>\$2,484.98</u>
Plus:	Revenue	\$18,766.05
Less:	Expenses	(\$12,177.64)
Balance at	August 31, 2018	<u>\$9,073.39</u>

RBC General Bank Account

Balance	August 31, 2018	<u>\$9,073.39</u>
Less:	Outstanding Cheques	
Plus:	Outstanding Deposit	\$0.00
Balance at	August 31, 2018	<u>\$9,073.39</u>

General Ledger ATB

Balance at	July 31, 2018	\$1,001,856.82
Plus:	Revenue	169,284.05
Less:	Expenses	\$ (69,704.96)
Balance at	August 31, 2018	<u>\$1,102,081.26</u>

ATB General Bank Account

Balance	August 31, 2018	<u>\$1,105,890.14</u>
Less:	Outstanding Cheques	(\$3,808.88)
Plus:	Outstanding Deposit	
Balance at	August 31, 2018	<u>\$1,102,081.26</u>

Total on Deposit **\$1,111,154.65**

Less: amount held for grants (\$502,062.00)
amount held in reserves (\$417,977.00)

Balance for operation **\$191,115.65**

Other Accounts

RBC Recreation Committee Account	August 24, 2018	\$9,098.96
RBC GIC - Development Deposit		\$0.00
Total Cash on Deposit as of	August 31, 2018	<u>\$1,120,253.61</u>

Cannabis Consumption Questionnaire

Respondents

27

1 Should Cannabis consumption be allowed anywhere

Yes	No		<u>Comments</u>
1	25	1	At a persons own home (for medical purposes) I don't believe consumption of cannabis should be permitted anywhere around schools, or playgrounds (near children) Strictly designated in public areas Private Property / Special event with approval only indoors, only adults Only on/in private property (I've smelled the stink when in playground)

2 If Allow align with Alcohol or smoking

Alcohol	Smoking	Both	Neither	n/a	<u>Comments</u>
3		12	7	5	tigher controls . . . Apparently combo of both Unsure - depends on how it's consumed. It's also a totally different substance

3 If following smoking distance follow or increase

Follow	Increase	n/a	<u>Comments</u>
6	18	3	RMWB has 10m restrictions Not in public without special conditions

4 Should allowance be made for special events

Yes	No	Maybe	n/a	<u>Comments</u>
4	17	2	4	Perhaps depending on type of event and circumstances Like alcohol yes but discreetly, Concern about the street smell of poor cannabis Yes but removed from event to not impact others who do not partake Beer Gardens only "It" (the stink) is offensive, intrusive If it's not allowed then it's not allowed. Especially if it's "smoked" during a special event with children present. Having said this . . . I am in favour of legalization. Maybe we should follow the Calgary bylaws like we do for speed limits . . . For now it's a start.

Can we look into the development of a micro cannabis venture



**VILLAGE OF LONGVIEW
REQUEST FOR COUNCIL DECISION**

Agenda Item #: 10.3

Date: October 16, 2018

Title: Hall Donation – Seniors Club – Dinner & Evening of Music

Submitted by: Dale Harrison, CAO

Recommendation: MOVED by _____ that the Longview & Area Seniors be allowed to use the Hall, tables and chairs at no cost for the November 3 Dinner and Evening of Music.

Alternatives:

2. Defeat above motion.
3. That discussion be tabled _____ *(for further information or future date).*

Background:

The Senior & Area Seniors Assoc is requesting the donation of the use of the Longview Community Hall, tables, and chairs for a fund raising Dinner and Evening of Music to be held Saturday, Nov 3 from 5 pm to 12 midnight. They would like to start setup Friday, Nov 2.

Implications:

Policy, Statutory

Plans, Legislative:

Financial: N/A

Communications: Notices posted at Council meetings

Attachments: Is the documentation severed by FOIP: **NO**

1. none

From: [President](#)
Subject: AUMA Response to Municipal Cannabis Transition Program
Date: Monday, October 15, 2018 11:59:22 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[2018_10_15_AUMA_Cannabis_NR.PDF](#)
[AUMA_cannabis_column_20181014- Final.docx](#)
[AUMA_cannabis_kms_and_tweets_20181014- Final.docx](#)
[Sample Letter to MLAs.docx](#)

Hello Mayors and CAOs,

Earlier today, the provincial government announced the cannabis revenue sharing deal under the Municipal Cannabis Transition Program (MCTP). This deal will hurt all municipalities and places the costs of legalization on the backs of Albertans, while the provincial government pockets the funds collected.

The federal government has been clear that 75 per cent of the Cannabis Excise Tax will go to provinces to share with municipalities according to shared responsibilities. But as today's MCTP outlines, over 215 Alberta municipalities will not receive any funds collected from that excise tax. Only 52 municipalities will be eligible for funding – funding that is inadequate and conditional on a grant application process that includes a reporting process full of red tape.

As a collective, we need to urge the province to come back to the table to create a revenue sharing program that provides municipalities with the necessary and reasonable funding required to manage cannabis legalization.

-

And we can only do that with your help.

1. Reach out to provincial and federal counterparts today. We have attached a template for you to use to let local MLAs know that because the provincial government did not engage municipalities in meaningful consultation, they failed to fully comprehend the impact legalization will have in our communities. You can also let local MPs know that the federal government entered into this agreement with the expectation that provinces would fairly distribute cannabis funds, but Alberta did not hold up their end of the bargain.
2. AUMA is providing key messages (attached) that can be used in conversations with stakeholders and other community leaders. A unified and shared message across the AUMA membership will amplify our voice. This issue hurts every municipality in Alberta, so the province should hear from every municipality. You can include examples of local costs that will affect your community as well.
3. Hold conversations with your council about a media engagement plan. A column has been provided for your use and we encourage you to share it with your local publications. Consider reaching out to your local media to provide input into the new MCTP arrangement and let them know it's a bad deal for residents in your community. This will ensure Albertans know that the province has left them on the hook to cover the costs of legalization.
4. Social media will be a useful tool in engaging with the province and informing our community members on the negative impacts of this deal. Follow @TheAUMA on Twitter and retweet important information that will be shared over the coming days. Actively share the unified key messages on your own social media channels as well.

In addition to our unified advocacy efforts in the province, AUMA has also reached out to the federal government. I will be sending a letter to Federal Finance Minister Bill Morneau outlining our concerns with the province's failure to appropriately share excise taxes with municipalities and

asking for consideration of options which could include the potential of withholding Alberta's share of the excise tax until an agreement that provides municipalities with the resources needed has been reached.

Members, I know you understand the gravity of the situation and how this deal the province is attempting to force upon us will hurt our communities. Municipalities are being put in an unfair position of choosing between safe communities or increased taxes for our residents as the province downloads the costs of legalization onto us. We are here to collaborate in equal partnership with the province, so let's work together to make sure they hear our voices on this, loud and clearly.

Sincerely,

Barry Morishita | President
Mayor, City of Brooks

C: 403.363.9224 | president@auma.ca

Alberta Municipal Place | 300 8616-51 Ave Edmonton, AB T6E 6E6

Toll Free: 310-AUMA | www.auma.ca



This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee, you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this email.

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
October 15, 2018

Provincial cannabis cash is a bad deal for municipalities

New provincial grant program underfunds cities, offers nothing to communities under 5,000

Edmonton - Albertans are on the hook to cover the cost of cannabis legalization in their community. According to the Municipal Cannabis Transition Program (MCTP) announced today, over 215 urban municipalities will be forced to cover legalization costs on their own while only 52 towns, cities and urban service areas will receive minimal funding.

"We are extremely disappointed with this announcement," says Alberta Urban Municipalities Association President Barry Morishita. "Hundreds of Alberta communities are being forced to choose between hiking property taxes or putting public safety at risk and that is unacceptable. This ongoing lack of meaningful consultation from the provincial government has resulted in the province not fully comprehending the impact cannabis legalization will have across Alberta."

AUMA has been advocating for municipalities to receive a fair share of the province's excise tax revenues as part of a "user pay" model to cover the costs associated with legalization. Municipalities are tasked with community education and enforcement, including responding to complaints of consumption in prohibited areas. These costs will be paid out of municipal budgets, instead of from the taxes collected from cannabis sales.

Under the new MCTP, eligible expenses include municipal administration costs directly related to cannabis legalization including land use bylaws and permitting; education and marketing regarding local rules for cannabis consumption; and administrative and enforcement staffing costs. These are expenses that municipalities of all sizes have and will continue to incur, but only a limited number of municipalities are eligible to apply for funding under the program – and only if they meet the criteria.

"Throughout the legalization process, AUMA has attempted to work in good faith with the province," said Morishita. "The federal government did not agree to give the provinces a larger share of the excise tax only for the province to not equitably distribute to the municipalities that need it. Going forward, AUMA will continue to advocate for municipalities dealing with the impending costs of cannabis legalization and will ask the federal government to explore options including potentially withholding the province's portion of the excise tax until the provincial government comes back to the table to ensure our communities remain safe."

-30-

About the AUMA

Founded in 1905, the Alberta Urban Municipalities Association represents more than 260 of Alberta's urban municipalities, including cities, towns, villages, summer villages and specialized municipalities. Our goal is to develop a strong partnership between all three levels of government through a shared vision, with long-term planning that facilitates social and economic growth, a strategic and stable funding matrix for capital projects, vital emergency and social services, and implementation and coordination in the delivery of services to ensure the long-term sustainability of Alberta's municipalities.

For more information:

Jackie Sargent, SCMP
Communications Advisor, AUMA
780-668-2436
jsargent@auma.ca